

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/08/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/641,793	08/18/2000	Noel E. Zeller	7485/62690	7285
75	90 05/08/2003			
Donald S Dowden Cooper & Dunham 1185 Avenue of the Americas			EXAMINER	
			GOODWIN, JEANNE M	
New York, NY	10036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2841	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Je.
•	•	09/641,793	ZELLER, NOEL E.	V
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	Jeanne-Marguerite G	odwin 2841	
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ars on the cover shee	t with th correspondence address	
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6).	y a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 F	ebruary 2003 .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ince except for formal Ex parte Quayle, 1935	matters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 7-10,13,15 and 16 is/are pending in t	he application.		
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application	on Papers			
9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)∐ T	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· ·	
11) 🗌 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)[disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
:	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received i	n Application No	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			1)
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application ha	s been received.	· / ·
Attachment				
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .	
S. Patent and Tra		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 11	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 7-10, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,883,860 to McKay in view of US Patent to Tortola et al. [hereinafter Tortola] and US Patent 5,642,234 to Altman et al. [hereinafter Altman].

McKay discloses a timekeeping and magnifying device comprising a housing with a clock face (114), a magnifying lens (116) that overlies the face, wherein the lens appears to pivot rotationally in a plane substantially parallel to the face, a light (142) adjacent the lens and a button (143-145) to actuate the light. McKay discloses all the subject matter claimed by applicant with the exception of the limitation stated in claim 13, i.e., a cover that covers the lens and face and the means in circuit with, but separate from, the switch for preventing the switch from turning the light on when the clock is stored and enabling the switch to turn the light on when the clock is deployed; the limitation stated in claim 7, i.e., the lens can pivot substantially 360 degrees relative to the face and cover; the limitation stated in claims 8 and 9, i.e., the cover and shaft can pivot substantially 180 degrees relative to the face; and the limitation stated in claim 10, i.e., the moving means comprises a helical cam concentric with the shaft.

With respect to the limitation stated in claim 13, i.e., a cover that covers the lens and face: Tortola discloses a combined light and magnifier apparatus (10) having a display face (24), a base (14) including a cover (20) pivotably secured to the base having

a magnifying lens (28) stored within the cover, wherein the cover and shaft can pivot relative to the face and comprising moving means constructed so that, when the cover and shaft pivot from a condition wherein the cover covers the face to condition wherein the cover uncovers the face, a side opening (22) to permit the user to observe an on/off light on the face, an on/off electrical switch (26) to operate a light means, wherein the light means may be used independently of the magnifying apparatus, that is, the cover may be pivotably opened into its extended position and the magnifying lens retained in the stored position within the outwardly extended, while the face may merely be indirectly lighted by the light means. Likewise, the magnifying lens may be placed in a magnifying, use position and the light means not employed by the non-activation of the on/off switch. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the cover and light assembly, as taught by Tortola, to the housing, as taught by McKay, in order to provide protection for the lighting means and the magnifying lens from any inadvertent damage.

With respect to the limitation stated in claim 13, i.e., the means in circuit with, but separate from, the switch for preventing the switch from turning the light on when the clock is stored and enabling the switch to turn the light on when the clock is deployed:

Altman discloses an illuminated magnifying lens comprising a switch mechanism (40) of a light source (16) including a cam/contact mechanism (62/64/66), wherein the contact element (64) moves in a first and second positions, wherein illuminating viewing positions are defined along the predetermined portion of a clockwise circular arc of movement between approximately 90 degrees to 180 degrees from the storage position (see Figs. 2 and 6). Conversely, non-illuminated viewing positions are defined along a

counterclockwise arc of movement of from about 45 degrees to 180 degrees from the storage position (see Fig. 2). This means that the lens assembly (10) may be used with or without the lamp (36) being illuminated. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the cam/contact mechanism, as taught by Altman, to the button mechanism, as taught by McKay and Tortola, in order to be able to use the lens with or without light being illuminated.

With respect to the limitation stated in claim 7, i.e., the lens can pivot substantially 360 degrees relative to the face and cover: the limitations in this claim, absent any criticality, are only considered to be the "optimum" value of the lens pivot with respect to the face and cover disclosed by McKay, Tortola and Altman, as stated above, that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been able to determine using routine experimentation based, among other things, on the desired accuracy, manufacturing costs, etc. See In re Boesch, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

With respect to the limitation stated in claim 8 and 9, i.e., the cover and shaft can pivot substantially 180 degrees relative to the face: the limitations in this claim, absent any criticality, are only considered to be the "optimum" value of the cover and shaft assemblies pivot with respect to the face disclosed by McKay, Tortola and Altman, as stated above, that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been able to determine using routine experimentation based, among other things, on the desired accuracy, manufacturing costs, etc. See In re Boesch, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

With respect to the limitation stated in claim 10, i.e., the moving means comprises a helical cam concentric with the shaft: this limitation, absent any criticality, is only

considered a choice of engineering skill, choice or design because 1) neither non-obvious nor unexpected results will be obtained if the cover and moving means assembly is used to pivot the cover from the housing, as already illustrated by McKay, Tortola and Altman 2) the cover and moving means assembly, as claimed by applicant and cover and moving means assembly used by McKay, Tortola and Altman are well known alternate types of cover assemblies which will perform the same function, if one is replaced with the other, of moving the cover sufficiently away from the housing, and the 3) the use of the cover and moving means assembly is considered to be nothing more than the use of one of numerous and well known alternate types of cover and moving means assemblies used to move a cover sufficiently from the housing that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been able to provide using routine experimentation in order to sufficiently move the cover from the housing.

3. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of McKay, Tortola and Altman as applied to claims 7-10, 13 and 14 above, and further in view of US Patent 3,3937,320 to Chao et al. [hereinafter Chao].

The combination of McKay and Tortola disclose a device as stated above with regards to claims 7-10, 13 and 14. The combination of McKay and Tortola disclose all the subject matter claimed by applicant with the exception of the limitations stated in claim 15, i.e., a first pair of contacts fixed relative to the cover and a second pair of contacts fixed relative to the lens.

With respect to the limitations stated in claim 15: Chao discloses a lighted device comprising a switch consisting of electrically conductive U-shaped metal clips (24) and

(25) that clip to mating edges (26) and (27) of the case and cover respectively. Each of these edges includes a recessed notch (28) and (29) respectively in which the clips fit in order that they are sufficiently spaced apart, when the box is closed so that they do not make electrical contact with each other. In operative use, however, when the cover is pivoted upward the clip is pivoted from the position shown in Fig. 3 to the position shown in Fig. 4, thus closing the electric circuit automatically and lighting the lamp which upon the box. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the cover and light assembly, as taught by the combination of McKay, Tortola and Altman, with the cover and light assembly, as taught by Chao, since both are alternative types of cover and light assemblies which provide protection for the lighting means and the contents of the box from any inadvertent damage.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-10, 13, 15 and 16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited in the PTO-892 disclose related devices. US Patent 4,763,986 to Sego, US 5,937,681 to Myhr et al., and US Patent 6,483,651 to Maurer disclose an illuminated magnifying glass; and US Patent Des. 355,360 to Chodat discloses a clock and magnifier device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jeanne-Marguerite Goodwin whose telephone number is (703) 305-0264. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9am-6pm), alternate Fridays off. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7724. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-9956.

JMG May 1, 2003 DAVID MARTIN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800